arXiv:1008.4081v1 [math.DG] 24 Aug 2010

A GENERIC MARGULIS NUMBER FOR HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS

PETER B. SHALEN

Dedicated to Bus Jaco on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract. We show that 0.29 is a Margulis number for all but finitely many hyperbolic 3-manifolds. The finitely many exceptions are all closed.

1. Introduction

If M is an orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, we may write $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M)$ is a discrete, torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, uniquely determined up to conjugacy by the hyperbolic structure of M.

Definition 1.1. Suppose that $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ is a non-elementary orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. A *Margulis number* for M (or for Γ) is a number $\mu > 0$ such that:

- If $P \in \mathbb{H}^n$, and if x and y are elements of Γ such that $\max(d(P, x \cdot P), d(P, y \cdot P)) < \mu$, then x and y commute.

Here, and throughout the paper, d denotes hyperbolic distance on $\mathbb{H}^3$.

Note that if μ is a Margulis number for M then any number in the interval $(0, \mu)$ is also a Margulis number for M. Note also that if Γ is abelian then *any* positive number is a Margulis number for M.

The main result of this paper, which will be proved in Section 3, is:

Theorem 1.2. *Up to isometry there are at most finitely many orientable hyperbolic* 3*-manifolds for which* 0.29 *is not a Margulis number. Furthermore, all such manifolds are closed.*

The first assertion of this theorem may be expressed as saying that 0.29 is a "generic Margulis number" for orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0906155.

To put Theorem 1.2 in context, I will first discuss a generalization of the definition of Margulis number that I gave above. If M ia a hyperbolic n-manifold, and if we write $M = \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ where $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is discrete and torsion-free, one may define a Margulis number for M (or for Γ) to be a constant $\mu > 0$ such that for every $P \in \mathbb{H}^n$, the elements $x \in \Gamma$ such that $d(P, x \cdot P) < \mu$ generate a subgroup which is *elementary* in the sense that is has an abelian subgroup of finite index. If $n = 3$ and M is orientable, it follows from Proposition 2.1 below that every elementary subgroup of Γ is abelian. Hence this definition of Margulis number does generalize the one given above.

The *Margulis Lemma* [2, Chapter D] implies that there is a positive constant which is a Margulis number for every hyperbolic n-manifold. The largest such number, $\mu(n)$, is called the *Margulis constant* for hyperbolic n-manifolds.

Meyerhoff showed in [7] that $\mu(3) > 0.104$. Marc Culler has informed me that according to strong numerical evidence, 0.616 fails to be a Margulis number for the hyperbolic 3-manifold `m027(-4,1)`, and hence $\mu(3) < 0.616$.

In [2, Chapter D] it is explained in detail how a Margulis number μ for a hyperbolic manifold M determines a canonical decomposition of M into a μ*-thin part*, consisting of cusp neighborhoods and tubes around closed geodesics, and a μ*-thick part* consisting of points where the injectivity radius is at least $\mu/2$. (In [2] the number μ is taken to be a Margulis *constant*, but the same arguments apply if μ is any Margulis number for M.

There are only finitely many topological possibilities for the μ-thick part of M given an upper bound on the volume of M. In the case $n = 3$, the μ-thick part is a 3-manifold with torus boundary components, and M is diffeomorphic to the interior of a manifold obtained by Dehn fillings from its μ-thick part.

This makes estimation of the maximal Margulis number for M a major step in understanding the geometric structure of M. The larger μ is, the fewer possibilities there are for the μ-thick part.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses Proposition 8.5 of [3], which in turn depends in an essential way on Theorem VI.4.1 of the Memoir [4] by Bus Jaco and myself. This is an interesting example of how three-manifold topology can be applied to hyperbolic geometry. To deduce Theorem 1.2 from [3, Proposition 8.5] one needs results on algebraic convergence proved by T. Jorgensen, P. Klein and A. Weil. Many of the relevant definitions and results are reviewed in Section 2 below.

I am grateful to Dick Canary for crucial help in arriving at the algebraic convergence arguments needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2; to Dave Futer for helping me to clarify the logical relationship between Theorem 1.2 and the results of [3]; to Al Marden for helping me locate the reference [6]; and to Marc Culler for computing the example discussed above.

2. Preliminaries

As I mentioned in the introduction, a subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is said to be *elementary* if it has an abelian subgroup of finite index.

In the case where Γ is discrete and torsion-free, I will say that the orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ is *elementary* if Γ is elementary. If M has finite volume then M must be non-elementary.

The following easy result gives a more direct characterization of elementary orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

Proposition 2.1. *Every torsion-free, elementary, discrete subgroup of* $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ *is abelian.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is torsion-free, elementary, and discrete. For $1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$, the subset $\mathrm{Fix}(\gamma)$ of the sphere at infinity is non-empty because $\langle\gamma\rangle$ is discrete and γ has infinite order, and consists of at most two points because $\gamma \neq 1$. For distinct elements $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, we have $\mathrm{Fix}(\gamma) = \mathrm{Fix}(\gamma')$ if and only if γ and γ' commute. Now if x and y are non-trivial elements of Γ, the definition of an elementary group implies that x^m and y^n commute for some positive integers m and n. We have

$$\mathrm{Fix}(x) = \mathrm{Fix}(x^m) = \mathrm{Fix}(y^n) = \mathrm{Fix}(y),$$

so that x and y commute. $\square$

We will need the following well-known fact:

Proposition 2.2. *For any compact, connected, orientable* 3*-manifold* N*, the total genus of* ∂N*, i.e. the sum of the genera of its components, is bounded above by the first Betti number of* N*.*

Proof. If one replaces the first Betti number of N, i.e. the dimension of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $H_1(N, \mathbb{Q})$, by the dimension of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-vector space $H_1(N, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, the statement become Lemma 7.3 of [1]. The proof of the latter result goes through without change if the coefficient field $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is replaced by $\mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

The next result includes the second assertion of Theorem 1.2. The main ingredient in the proof is Proposition 8.5 of [3], which asserts that 0.292 is a Margulis number for every orientable 3-manifold M with $H_1(M;\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *Every non-compact, orientable hyperbolic* 3*-manifold admits* 0.292 *as a Margulis number.*

Proof. Let M be a non-compact, orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold. write $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ for some torsion-free discrete subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. We must show that if x and y are non-commuting elements of Γ and P is a point in $\mathbb{H}^3$, we have

$$\max(d(P, x \cdot P), d(P, y \cdot P)) \geq 0.29.$$

Set $\widetilde{\Gamma} := \langle x, y\rangle$. Then $\widetilde{M} := \mathbb{H}^3/\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a hyperbolic manifold which covers M, and is therefore non-compact. Since $\pi_1(\widetilde{M}) \cong \widetilde{\Gamma} = \langle x, y\rangle$ is finitely generated, $\widetilde{M}$ has a *compact core* N according to [9]. By definition, $N \subset \widetilde{M}$ is a compact submanifold, and the inclusion homomorphism $\pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(\widetilde{M})$ is an isomorphism.

Suppose that ∂N has a sphere component S. Since $\widetilde{M}$ is irreducible, S bounds a ball $B \subset \widetilde{M}$. If $B \supset N$ then $\widetilde{M}$ is simply connected, a contradiction since $\widetilde{\Gamma} \cong \pi_1(\widetilde{M})$ contains the non-commuting elements x and y. Hence $B \cap N = S$, and it follows that $B \cap N$ is a compact core for $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ with fewer boundary components than N. Hence if we choose N among all compact cores so as to minimize its number of boundary components, then ∂N has no sphere component.

On the other hand, since $\widetilde{M}$ is not closed, we have $\partial N \neq \emptyset$. Hence the total genus of ∂N, i.e. the sum of the genera of its components, is strictly positive.

By Proposition 2.2, the first Betti number of N is bounded below by the total genus of ∂N. Hence $H_1(\widetilde{M};\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$. According to [3, Proposition 8.5], this implies that 0.292 is a Margulis number for $\widetilde{M}$. Since x and y do not commute, we therefore have

$$\max(d(P, x \cdot P), d(P, y \cdot P)) \geq 0.292.$$

□

If Γ is a group, we denote by $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)^{(\Gamma)}$ the set of all set-theoretical mappings of Γ into $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. Thus $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)^{(\Gamma)}$ is the same as the product $\prod_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, where $G_\gamma = \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We shall always understand $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ to have its usual Lie group topology, and $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)^{(\Gamma)}$ to have the product topology. The set $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ of all representations of Γ in $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is the subset of mappings $\rho \in \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)^{(\Gamma)}$ which satisfy $\rho(\gamma\gamma') = \rho(\gamma)\rho(\gamma')$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ is a closed subset of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)^{(\Gamma)}$. It will be given the subspace topology.

A sequence of representations $(\rho_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ is said to *converge algebraically* to a representation $\rho_\infty \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ if it converges to ρ_∞ in the topology of $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ described above.

I will denote by $D(\Gamma)$ the subset of $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ consisting of all representations whose images are discrete and non-elementary, and by $DFC(\Gamma) \subset D(\Gamma)$ the subset of $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ consisting of all faithful representations whose images are discrete and cocompact.

The following result summarizes the facts about algebraic convergence that will be needed in the next section.

Theorem 2.4. *Let Γ be any group.*

1. *The set $D(\Gamma)$ is closed in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$.
2. *If a sequence $(\phi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $D(\Gamma)$ converges algebraically to a representation ϕ_∞, then for every sufficiently large j there is a homomorphism $\psi_j : \phi_\infty(\Gamma) \to \phi_j(\Gamma)$ such that $\psi_j \circ \phi_\infty = \phi_j$.*
3. *The set $DFC(\Gamma)$ is open in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ $DF(\Gamma)$.

Proof. In proving the first assertion we may assume that $D(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$, so that Γ is isomorphic to a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ and is therefore countable. This implies that $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)^{(\Gamma)}$ is a first-countable space, and hence that $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3))$ is also first-countable. It therefore suffices to prove that every algebraic limit of representations in $D(\Gamma)$ belongs to $D(\Gamma)$. But this is the first assertion of [6, p. 326, Theorem].

The second assertion of Theorem 2.4 is Theorem 2 of [5] (and is also the second assertion of [6, p. 326, Theorem]).

The third assertion is the case $G = \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ of the main theorem of [10]. □

3. Proof of the main theorem

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will occupy this section.

The second assertion of the theorem is immediate from Proposition 2.3.

To prove the first assertion, we argue by contradiction. Suppose that there is an infinite sequence $(M_j)_{j\geq 0}$ of pairwise non-isometric orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds none of which admits 0.29 as a Margulis number. For each j write $M_j = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_j$ for some torsion-free discrete subgroup Γ_j of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$.

For each j, since 0.29 is not a Margulis number for M_j, there exist non-commuting elements $x_j, y_j \in \Gamma_j$ and a point $P_j \in \mathbb{H}^3$ such that

$$\max(d(P_j, x_j \cdot P_j), d(P_j, y_j \cdot P_j)) < 0.29.$$

After replacing each Γ_j by a suitable conjugate of itself in $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, we may assume that the P_j are all the same point of $\mathbb{H}^3$, which I will denote by P. Thus for each j we have

$$\max(d(P, x_j \cdot P), d(P, y_j \cdot P)) < 0.29. \tag{3.0.1}$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the group $\widetilde{\Gamma}_j := \langle x_j, y_j\rangle$ is a subgroup of Γ_j, and is therefore discrete and torsion-free. Since x_j and y_j do not commute, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ is non-elementary. Hence $\widetilde{M}_j := \mathbb{H}^3/\widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ is a non-elementary hyperbolic manifold which covers M_j.

It follows from (3.0.1) that the x_j and y_j all lie in a compact subset of $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. Hence after passing to a subsequence we may assume that $x_j \to x_\infty$ and $y_j \to y_\infty$ for some $x_\infty, y_\infty \in \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$.

Let F_2 denote the free group on two generators x and y. For $1 \leq j \leq \infty$, let us define a homomorphism $\phi_j : F_2 \to \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ by $\phi_j(x) = x_j$ and $\phi_j(y) = y_j$. The sequence $(\phi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges algebraically to ϕ_∞. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ is discrete and non-elementary, we have $\phi_j \in D(\Gamma)$ in the notation of Section 2. Applying Assertion (1) of Theorem 2.4, with $\Gamma = F_2$ and $\rho_j = \phi_j$, we deduce that $\phi_j \in D(\Gamma)$; that is, $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty := \phi_\infty(F_2)$ is discrete and non-elementary. Furthermore, it follows from Assertion (2) of Theorem 2.4 that for sufficiently large j there is a homomorphism $\psi_j : \widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty \to \widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ such that $\psi_j \circ \phi_\infty = \phi_j$. After passing to a subsequence we may assume that such a ψ_j exists for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

We regard ψ_j as a representation of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty$ in $\mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, and we let $\psi_\infty : \widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty \to \mathrm{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ denote the inclusion homomorphism. Since the sequence $(\phi_j)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges algebraically to ϕ_∞, the sequence $(\psi_j)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges algebraically to ψ_∞.

If $\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty$ has finite order, then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\psi_j(\gamma) = 1$, since $\widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ is torsion-free. By algebraic convergence we have

$$\gamma = \psi_\infty(\gamma) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \psi_j(\gamma) = 1.$$

This shows that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty$ is torsion-free. Hence $\widetilde{M}_\infty = \mathbb{H}^3/\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty$ acquires the structure of a hyperbolic 3-manifold in a natural way.

We now distinguish two cases; in each case we shall obtain a contradiction.

Case I: $\widetilde{M}_\infty$ is closed. In this case Γ_∞ is cocompact, so that in the notation of Section 2 we have $\psi_\infty \in DFC(\Gamma_\infty)$. Since $(\psi_j)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges algebraically to ψ_∞, it then follows from Assertion (3) of Theorem 2.4, with $\Gamma = \widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty$, that $\psi_j \in DFC(\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty)$ for every sufficiently large j. After passing to a subsequence we may therefore assume that $\psi_j \in DFC(\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular $\psi_j : \widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty \to \widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ is an isomorphism for each j; and $\widetilde{M}_j = \mathbb{H}^3/\widetilde{\Gamma}_j = \mathbb{H}^3/\psi_j(\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty)$ is closed, and is therefore a finite-sheeted covering of M_j. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\widetilde{\Gamma}_j$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty$, it follows from the Mostow rigidity theorem [2, Chapter C] that $\widetilde{M}_j$ is isometric to $\widetilde{M}_\infty$.

Let Δ denote the diameter of $\widetilde{M}_\infty$. Then since each M_j has a finite-sheeted covering isometric to $\widetilde{M}_\infty$, each M_j has diameter at most Δ.

Let v denote the infimum of the volumes of all closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds; we have $v > 0$, for example by [7, Theorem 1]. Since each M_j has volume at least v, diameter at most Δ, constant curvature -1 and dimension 3, it follows from the main theorem of [8] that the M_j represent only finitely many diffeomorphism types. By the Mostow rigidity theorem, they represent only finitely many isometry types. This gives the required contradiction in Case I.

Case II: $\widetilde{M}_\infty$ is not closed. In this case it follows from Proposition 2.3 that 0.292 is a Margulis number for $\widetilde{M}_\infty$. Since $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\infty = \langle x_\infty, y_\infty \rangle$ is non-elementary, x_∞ and y_∞ do not commute. We therefore have

$$\max(d(P, x_\infty \cdot P), d(P, y_\infty \cdot P)) \geq 0.292.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.0.1), upon taking limits as $j \to \infty$, that

$$\max(d(P, x_\infty \cdot P), d(P, y_\infty \cdot P)) \leq 0.29.$$

This gives the required contradiction in Case II.

References

[1] Ian Agol, Marc Culler, and Peter B. Shalen. Singular surfaces, mod 2 homology, and hyperbolic volume. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(7):3463–3498, 2010.

[2] Riccardo Benedetti and Carlo Petronio. *Lectures on hyperbolic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[3] Marc Culler and Peter B. Shalen. Margulis numbers for Haken manifolds. arXiv:1006.3467.

[4] William H. Jaco and Peter B. Shalen. Seifert fibered spaces in 3-manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(220):viii+192, 1979.

[5] Troels Jørgensen. On discrete groups of Möbius transformations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 98(3):739–749, 1976.

[6] Troels Jørgensen and Peter Klein. Algebraic convergence of finitely generated Kleinian groups. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 33(131):325–332, 1982.

[7] Robert Meyerhoff. A lower bound for the volume of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Canad. J. Math.*, 39(5):1038–1056, 1987.

[8] Stefan Peters. Cheeger's finiteness theorem for diffeomorphism classes of Riemannian manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 349:77–82, 1984.

[9] G. P. Scott. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 7:246–250, 1973.

[10] André Weil. On discrete subgroups of Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 72:369–384, 1960.

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science (M/C 249), University of Illinois at Chicago, 851 S. Morgan St., Chicago, IL 60607-7045

E-mail address: `shalen@math.uic.edu`